



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,883	09/973,883 10/10/2001		John R. Hind	RSW920010194US1	7074
25260	7590	02/13/2006		EXAMINER	
MARCIA L P. O. BOX 4		ET	WOO, ISAAC M		
KISSIMME		742		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2166	

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/973,883	HIND ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Isaac M. Woo	2166				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 No</u>	ovember 2005.					
3)□	,—						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8 and 10-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)□	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
* 0	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list						
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	sa.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/973,883

Art Unit: 2166

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

This action is in response to Applicant's Amendments, filed on November 04,
 2005 have been considered but are deemed moot in view of new ground of rejections below.

2. Claims 1, 3, 6-8 and 10-19 are amended. Claims 9 and 20 are canceled. Claims 1-8 and 10-19 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1 and 18-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recites, "comparing a manner in which the swiping was performed" in line 5 and "what manner of swiping indicates an identification of dynamically-identified" in lines 6-7. Claims 18 recites, "what manner of swiping indicates an identification of dynamically-identified" in lines 7-8. And claim 19 recites, "what manner of swiping indicates an identification of dynamically-identified". It

Art Unit: 2166

is not clearly understood what is meant by. Applicants are advised to amend the claim so solve the 112 rejection set forth in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-5, 7-8 and 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kenyon et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,792,430, hereinafter, "Kenyon").

With respect to claims 1, Kenyon discloses, detecting, by a user input monitor (i.e., 16, user selects URL in fig. 1, col. 4, lines 24-28), a user has swiped across an element of a rendered representation of an electronic object (user selecting electronic object of URL graphically grouped, col. 4, lines 25-37); comparing a manner in which the swiping was performed, responsive to the detecting (i.e., 16, user selects URL in fig. 1, col. 4, lines 24-28), to previously-defined settings (18 in fig. 1, col. 4, lines 28-36, i.e., checking if user selected URL is in current overlay), specify what manner of swiping indicates an identification of dynamically-identified (col. 4, lines 28-36), user-defined

organizing criteria (i.e., checking if URL is in current overlay) (18 in fig. 1, col. 4, lines 28-36, i.e., checking if user selected URL is in current overlay); and storing, if the comparing step determines, the manner in which the swiping was performed is consistent with the specified settings (26 in fig. 1, col. 4, lines 37-53), the swiped element in a repository of criteria (30 in fig. 1, col. 4, lines 53-65, selected URL criteria defined), from which the stored element can subsequently be selected for inclusion in a pattern to be matched against electronic objects programmatically for organizing the electronic objects, see (col. 5, lines 14-37, selected URL criteria is defined and saved for next URL criteria request, "for inclusion" is claim limitation may not distinguish over the prior art because of intended use limitation).

Page 4

With respect to claim 2, Kenyon discloses, enabling the user to configure the defined setting, see (fig. 2, col. 37-53).

With respect to claim 3, Kenyon discloses, repeatedly swiping across a word, a phrase, or one or more contiguous characters in the rendered representation, and the storing steps stores the swiped across word, phrase, or one or more contiguous characters as the stored element, see (col. 5, lines 14-37).

With respect to claim 4, Kenyon discloses, the word, phase, or the characters is/are rendered from a text document, see (col. 5, lines 14-37).

Application/Control Number: 09/973,883

Art Unit: 2166

With respect to claim 5, Kenyon discloses, the word, phase, or the characters is/are rendered from an e-mail message, see (col. 5, lines 14-37).

With respect to claim 7, Kenyon discloses, swiping one or more words, phrases, or characters in the rendered representation as the element, see (col. 5, lines 14-37).

With respect to claim 8, Kenyon discloses, swiping across a portion of images in the rendered representation as the element, see (col. 5, lines 31-68).

With respect to claim 10, Kenyon discloses, building one or rules, each rule specifying a pattern, comprises at least one organizing criteria to be matched against electronic objects for programmatically organizing the electronic objects, wherein the stored element id used as one of the organizing criteria in at least one of the rules, see (col. 5, lines 31-68).

With respect to claim 11, Kenyon discloses, detecting, the user swiped across the element by moving a mouse device across the element at least twice, see (fig.1, col. 4, lines 54-65).

With respect to claim 12, Kenyon discloses, detecting, the user swiped across the element by moving a light pen device across the element at least twice, see (fig.1, col. 4, lines 54-65).

With respect to claim 13, Kenyon discloses, detecting, the user swiped across the element by moving his or her finger at least twice across the element, wherein the element is rendered on a plasma panel device, see (fig.1, col. 4, lines 54-65).

With respect to claim 14, Kenyon discloses, detecting, the user swiped across the element using an audio mechanism by speaking commands in the manner specified in the previously-defined settings, see (fig. 1, page 3, section [0041]-[0043]).

With respect to claim 15, Kenyon discloses, detecting, the user swiped across the element using a video mechanism by passing his or her eyes repeatedly over the element, see (col. 4, lines 12-44).

With respect to claim 16, Kenyon discloses, swiped across multiple times to indicate the identification, see (col. 4, lines 12-44).

With respect to claim 17, Kenyon discloses, adding the swiped across element to organizing criteria of an index, causing to become adaptive to the user swipings, see (col. 5, lines 14-38).

With respect to claims 18, Kenyon discloses, detecting, by a user input monitor (i.e., 16, user selects URL in fig. 1, col. 4, lines 24-28), a user has swiped across an

Art Unit: 2166

element of a rendered representation of an electronic object (user selecting electronic object of URL graphically grouped, col. 4, lines 25-37); comparing a manner in which the swiping was performed, responsive to the detecting (i.e., 16, user selects URL in fig. 1, col. 4, lines 24-28), to previously-defined settings (18 in fig. 1, col. 4, lines 28-36, i.e., checking if user selected URL is in current overlay), specify what manner of swiping indicates an identification of dynamically-identified (col. 4, lines 28-36), user-defined organizing criteria (i.e., checking if URL is in current overlay) (18 in fig. 1, col. 4, lines 28-36, i.e., checking if user selected URL is in current overlay); and storing, if the comparing step determines, the manner in which the swiping was performed is consistent with the specified settings (26 in fig. 1, col. 4, lines 37-53), the swiped element in a repository of criteria usable by the processor for programmatically organizing electronic objects (30 in fig. 1, col. 4, lines 53-65, selected URL criteria defined), stored element to be subsequently selected as an organizing criteria for use in a rule, the rule can subsequently used for programmatically for organizing the electronic objects, see (col. 5, lines 14-37, selected URL criteria is defined and saved for next URL criteria request, "for use" and "for programmatically organizing...." are claim limitation may not distinguish over the prior art because of intended use limitation).

With respect to claims 19, Kenyon discloses, detecting, by a user input monitor (i.e., 16, user selects URL in fig. 1, col. 4, lines 24-28), a user has swiped across an element of a rendered representation of an electronic object (user selecting electronic object of URL graphically grouped, col. 4, lines 25-37); compare a manner in which the

Page 8

Art Unit: 2166

swiping was performed, responsive to the detecting (i.e., 16, user selects URL in fig. 1, col. 4, lines 24-28), to previously-defined settings (18 in fig. 1, col. 4, lines 28-36, i.e., checking if user selected URL is in current overlay), specify what manner of swiping indicates an identification of dynamically-identified (col. 4, lines 28-36), user-defined organizing criteria (i.e., checking if URL is in current overlay) (18 in fig. 1, col. 4, lines 28-36, i.e., checking if user selected URL is in current overlay); store, if the comparison determines, the manner in which the swiping was performed is consistent with the specified settings (26 in fig. 1, col. 4, lines 37-53), the swiped element in a repository of criteria usable by the processor for programmatically organizing electronic objects (30 in fig. 1, col. 4, lines 53-65, selected URL criteria defined), stored element to be subsequently selected as an organizing criteria in a rule, the rule can subsequently used for programmatically for organizing the electronic objects, see (col. 5, lines 14-37, selected URL criteria is defined and saved for next URL criteria request, "for programmatically organizing...." are claim limitation may not distinguish over the prior art because of intended use limitation).

Art Unit: 2166

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/973,883 Page 10

Art Unit: 2166

Contact Information

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Isaac M. Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4043. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hosain T. Alam can be reached on (571) 272-3978. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

IW

January 30, 2006

JEAN MCORRIELUS PRIMARY EXAMINER